

Cllr Harvey summary major comments (not including small re-writes and additions)

1. Re-write intro with more explanation of process and shortcomings of plan and list in order the following sections.
2. Structure report according to issues not geography

2. Settlement Boundary

Option 1

shouldn't be an option as thrown out by inspector and confusing as it shows allocated sites already allocated in core strategy so we can't allocate.

Option 3

- Too prescriptive and doesn't include Wall Hills protection, railway site, extension of sports land to Ross Road
- Why stipulate site of employment on viaduct land and why not propose more employment here and fewer houses.
- Why isn't Ledbury Park included to protect it
- Barratts remnant and triangle by Full Pitcher why not light employment?
- Why is land to S of Barratts (already identified as low sensitivity by Carly) not included in settlement boundary
- Why is land to N of Bovis with high sensitivity not included to protect it
- Masefield Meadow – development site?

3. Land for new Businesses

Include options to densify existing employment sites

Quite a lot of detailed questions about sports and employment allocations –for Phillip and Bill to consider

4. Land north of the viaduct

We should revisit mix of uses on site particularly in the light of increased flood risk – more employment fewer houses

Protect the route of the extension to the by-pass

Railway Station section - re-write and some additional details suggested

5. Ledbury town centre

Language confusing

Re-think don't extend town centre contract it

6. Lawnside and Market Street

These shouldn't be in same section

Core Strat identifies Lawnside as a retail area – we should address this or rebut it

Suggests detailed look at Lawnside and associated social housing area

7. Green Infrastructure

State that GI protected in UDP was lost in Core Strategy and need to re-protect.
Split GI map into areas with existing protection and new proposals
Missing Upper Hall Lake and New Mills Brook (Kempley Brook?), Walled Garden
Should Masefield Meadow be protected or a development site?
Do we need to include church yard, Cemetery
School grounds may be developed if schools are extended so should they be included?

7. Design and the Environment

Why are we not proposing producing a Design guide?