

Ledbury Town Council Traffic Management Working Party

Report from meeting held Thursday 20th December 2018

Traffic mgmt working party. 20/12/18

Present. Phillip Howells chair Andy Manns, Jane Hopkins (note taker) Apologies Cllr Morris

8 members of the public

AM said he had asked office for reports and. Recommendations from previous working parties.

All advised SIDS are cheap but the ports are expensive. SIDS can be rented or bought.

All members of the public representing parkway and Ledbury

PH reprised that the working-party terms of reference is to get a report and put to council by end of March for representation to HC. And hopefully get action as not all things are within terms of reference of TC

PH reprised the minutes of the last meeting.

Public. Said petition would be larger but timescales tight

PH consultation form for stakeholders prepared by NM, expecting this to be available in January. Asked for public to help deliver.

Object is to give residents choice. Marbles furlong public. Would at this stage prefer access only, debate about the legal standing and whether HC should turn this grass to concrete for additional parking as there was insufficient maintainable.

JH stated this came under E&L and would address at a later stage.

Public queried questionnaire in March and wanted faster progress. PH stated he would also be meeting with the police in January. Putting together the stakeholders groups and collecting information by mid Feb.

Public dissatisfaction at the length of time this is taking.

After much discussion PH recapped the options which have previously been considered, no entry access only etc Mabel's furlong and Church St especially. General agreement that something needed to be done.

Marbles furlong. Feels that access only is no deterrent but they do not wish to pay for residents parking.

JH confirmed that residents cannot move cars causing an obstruction themselves they would need to phone the police.

PH asked for no of residents after discussion the no households was recommended at 30 odd.

Marbles Furlong residents were adamant that they did not want to pay for parking so wanted access only as a more pragmatic approach

PH. Detailed the HC process for approving.
Residents recapped The need for urgency.
Discussion progressed in circles and Residents wished to involve the press.

PH restated that the report would be produced in March at the earliest. And after adoption by FC this could then be presented to. HC.

AM advised that a residents group added weight to the voices from a community.

PH summarised the options we would consider are access only, moving the sign, do we need an island? PH advised MF residents to set an action group and get publicity and do the survey. Agreed to meet WC 7th Jan to decide on the survey. Mon 7th decided town council offices 7pm. Meeting to start 7.30.

PH confirmed that school parking would be a specific action area and the school is a stakeholder.

AM confirmed he would be attempting to access results of prior traffic survey.

JH provided summary in grit bins. The ones not filled are LTC bins and E&L will be taking action to make representation to HC and get to the root of the problems.

Resident suggested park and ride from countryside. PH suggested these may not work as envisaged.

AM stated that parking revenues go to HC.

PH stated the traffic mgmt budget could be used to get information or install SIDS at parkway or consultants input to make sure of success. But we need to decide the priorities.

Michell Ede agreed to be MF spokesperson. Tracy has email.

—

Discussion changed to parkway.

PH summarised notes. Accident black spot. Installation of gates SIDS suggested.

JH confirmed police will spend more on speed mgmt next year.

JH provided reports on current parkway situation and options.

Practical options move the 40 signs and install gates.

Long term bypass.

PH raised the issue that are these measures that will stand the test of time with the new developments.

JH stated ADL report was flawed. Because of its terms of reference. Reduce speed to 30mph only. JH feels it would be street lighting. Data from accident is not recorded if injuries.

PH suggested consultant input may offer additional solutions.

MR Flack suggested there may have been a death as the speed limit backed by yellow.

Working party unable to confirm.

PH suggested this would be a major issue. Recommend 25% of budget for consultancy to back parkway recommendations.

JH, RF and PH discussed the footpath and how it wasn't adopted and that this may be a use for Section 106 money and adding cycleway.

However felt that although measures are cosmetic it was felt someone would get killed sooner or later. Whole meeting agreed that we did not want to wait for a fatality before addressing the issues.

PH said he regarded it as key issue.

JH agreed to discuss survey with PH and distribute in parkway.